Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Applicant Initiate 4 V	U.S. Patont and Trac	demark Office: U.S. DE	PARTMENT OF COMME
Applicant Initiated Interv	iew Reque	st Form	
Application No.:09/784,094First Named Applicant: Kexaminer: N.S. MAMMEN Art Unit; 3671	C. PELI	ENC	
Tentative Postini	Status of	Application: No	on-sind Action
(1) John PRETA (2) Sporter	TB	<i>(</i> Π!)	
(3) Ex. MAMMEN (4)	<u> </u>	<u>11</u>	
Proposed Date of Interview			
Proposed Date of Interview: Proposed T	ime:	(AM/PM)	
Type of Interview Requested: (1) [ ] Telephonic (2) [ ] Personal (3) [ ] Video	•		
Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstructure			
If yes, provide brief description:	NO		
			-
Issues To Be Disc	Trenad .		
Issues	-upseu		
(Rej., Obj., etc) Fig. #s Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) 102 (5) 31-48+50-54 KELY			
(2) 11 31-33,35-38 HONDA	[-]	[ ]	[]
3/-33, 35-47	[]	[]	[]
4) 31-33, 35, 36 4) 38-41, 44-46, SI-53 AYCIENE	[]	[]	[]
	[]	[]	
] Continuation Sheet Attached			, ,
rief Description of Arguments to be Presented:			
DISC	J85 (6A 0	f Apple	2
define are the applied drive	to the	claims	to wor det
n interview was conducted on the above-identified application		See aff	chil .
OTE:			
ils form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the ex	aminer in adva	nce of the inte-	Ann. /
his form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the ex- 713.01), his application will not be a second or a submitted to the ex-	aminer in adva	nce of the intervi	iew (see MPEP
his form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the ex- vis application will not be a second or a submitted to the ex-	aminer in adva s failure to subs abstance of this	nce of the intervi nit a written rec interview (37 C	ord of this FR 1.133(b))
his form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the ex (713.01), his application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's terview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the statement as possible.  pplicant/Applicant's Representative Signature) (Examine)	aminer in adva s failure to subi lbstance of this	nce of the intervi nit a written rec interview (37 C	ord of this FR 1.133(b))

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.133. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 21 minutes in complete, including gathering, part submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments and Tradsmark Office, U.S. Department of Communect, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED PORMS TO THIS MADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select ontion 2.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

4:54PM

MEIL F. GREENILUM
BRUCE H. BERNSTEIN
JAMES L. RUWLAND
ARNOLD TUNK
MICHAEL J. FINK
STEVEN WEGMANLESLIE J. PAPERINERWILLIAM PIEPFASTEPHEN M. ROVLANCE
ROBERT W. MUELLIR
JILL M. BROWNING
ALAN M. LENKING
WILLIAM E. LYDDANE
WILLIAM E. LYDDANE
WILLIAM E. ROBENICK
PAIL A. BRAIER, PH.D.
ABRAIKAM HERSHKOVITZE
P. BRANKO PEJICG
JESNICA H. TRANO
JOHN PRETA\*

JOHN PRETA\*
HERITERT F. MUENSTERER, PH.D.OO
HONG J. XULORI F. CUOMO\*

LAW OFFICES GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.
PATENT, COPYRIGHT AND TRADEMARK CAUSES
1950 ROLAND CLARKE FLACE

RESTON, VA 20191 YEL: (703) 716-1191 FAX: (703) 716-1180 EMAIL: gbparont@gbparont.com VAN C. ERNEST
SEAN E. KYDER\*
LINDA J. HODGE
JOSHUA M. POVSNER\*
CLARK W. MARTIN\*
DANIEL B. MOON
HANNO RITTNER
BRIAN C. CARRYPAUL T. LEE\*
JOHN V. MAZZOLAO
CAITLIN LHOMMEDIEU
JOHN KILTEND

OF COUNSEL: OLIVER R. ASHE, JR.

TECHNICAL ADVISORS: TU ANH PHAN, PH.D. KATRIN VENTER, PH.D.

\*ADMITTED TO A BAR OTHER THAN VA SEUROPRAN PATENT AGENT SKOREAN PATENT ACENT CREGISTERED PATENT AGENT

#### FACSIMILE COVER LETTER \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

From: GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.

Date: October 15, 2003

To:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Examiner Mammen Attn:

File No.: 09/784,094

Attorney docket No. P20520

Telefax Number: 703-746-3739

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES, INCLUDING COVER LETTER: 9

IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL OF THE PAGES, PLEASE CONTACT US:

TELEPHONE:

(703) 716-1191

FAX:

(703) 716-1180

# 

The documents accompanying this facsimile transmission contain information from the law firm of GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C. which is confidential and/or privileged. The information is intended to be for the use of the individual or entity named on this transmission sheet. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the contents of this facsimile information is prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please notify us by telephone at (703) 716-1191 immediately so that we can arrange for the retrieval of the original documents at no cost to you. \*

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant : Roger PELLENC

Serial No : 09/784,094 Group Art Unit: 3219

Filed : February 16, 2001 Examiner: N.S. Mammen

For

: TOOL FOR SOIL CULTIVATING MACHINE AND MACHINE

USING SUCH TOOLS

# REQUEST FOR AN INTERVIEW

Commissioner of Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Examiner's request, Applicant is herein attaching a form PTOL-413A.

Applicant request the Interview to discuss the prior art rejections in detail and/or to possibly propose amendments to the claims as follows.

(A) Claims 31-48 and 50-54 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US patent 4,050,519 to VAN DER LELY. The Examiner has again asserted that this document discloses all the recited features of these claims. Applicant completely disagrees. Applicant notes that claim 31 recites a mechanism (ref. 14) which biases the tools against the rotor and that each tool is "movably fixed to the rotor." Moreover, claim 32 recites that the fixing end has a ring portion (ref. 2) that is "movably fixed to the rotor", a soil

### P20520,A12

engaging portion which is plate-like (ref. 4), and a mechanism (ref. 14) which biases the tools against the rotor. Finally, claim 33 recites that the fixing end (ref. 2) can be "pivotally and removably mounted to the rotor" and an active portion (ref. 4) that has "an approximately planar portion having a sharp edge." Applicant sees no disclosure to any of these recited features in VAN DER LELY. Moreover, Applicant continues to disagree that the clip 22 acts to bias "the tools against the rotor." The tools 25 are clearly not biased against the rotor. Nor is there any engagement between the tools 25 and the clip 22. Applicant also takes issue with the Examiner's mis-characterization of this document. The Examiner asserts that each tool has a ring which receives a journal. This is entirely incorrect. The tools 25 do not have a ring. To the contrary, the tools 25 have journals which are inserted in rings 23 of the rotor (see col. 2, lines 40-41 and 60-62). Moreover, there is no disclosure indicating that the tools 25 can move in the holders 23. To the contrary, the way they are shown connected in the drawing strongly suggests that they cannot move once the nut 27 is tightened. Thus, it is clear that this document teaches to "movably" mount the tools to the rotor as recited in claims 31-33. Applicant also completely disagrees with the Examiner's assertion that the tools 25 have a planar active portion. It is clear from Fig. 5 that the ribs 44 and 45 are not planar. Finally, we note that the dependent claims further recite many features which are not disclosed by this document.

(B) Claims 31-33, 35-38, 40-44, 48 and 50-54 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b)

as being anticipated by US patent 3,246,704 to HONDA et al. The Examiner has again asserted that this document discloses all the recited features of these claims. Applicant completely disagrees. Again, claim 31 recites a mechanism (ref. 14) which biases the tools against the rotor and that each tool is "movably fixed to the rotor." Moreover, claim 32 recites that the fixing end has a ring portion (ref. 2) that is "movably fixed to the rotor" and a mechanism (ref. 14) which biases the tools against the rotor. Finally, claim 33 recites that the fixing end (ref. 2) can be "pivotally and removably mounted to the rotor". In our opinion, these features are clearly not disclosed in this document.

- (C) Claims 31-33, 35-41, 44-46 and 51-53 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US patent 2,816,499 to OSTHAUS. The Examiner has again asserted that this document discloses all the recited features of these claims. Applicant completely disagrees. Again, claim 31 recites a mechanism (ref. 14) which biases the tools against the rotor and that each tool is "movably fixed to the rotor." Moreover, claim 32 recites that the fixing end has a ring portion (ref. 2) that is "movably fixed to the rotor" and a mechanism (ref. 14) which biases the tools against the rotor. Finally, claim 33 recites an active portion (ref. 4) that has "an approximately planar portion having a sharp edge." In our opinion, these features are clearly not disclosed in this document.
- (D) Claims 31-33, 35, 36, 38-41, 44-46 and 51-53 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US patent 2,309,157 to ARIENS. The Examiner has again

asserted that this document discloses all the recited features of these claims. Applicant completely disagrees. Again, claim 31 recites a mechanism (ref. 14) which biases the tools against the rotor and that each tool is "movably fixed to the rotor." Moreover, claim 32 recites a mechanism (ref. 14) which biases the tools against the rotor. Finally, claim 33 recites "a connecting portion comprising an intermediate space zone which extends downwardly from the fixing end." In our opinion, these features are clearly not disclosed in this document.

Accordingly, Applicant requests that the Examiner clarify each of these rejections.

Applicant also requests that the Examiner comment on possible proposed amendments to claims 31-33 in an effort to further define the invention over these documents.

# Proposed Changes to Claims 31-33

- 31. (Currently Amended) A device for a soil cultivating machine, comprising:
- a rotor adapted to be rotatably mounted to the soil cultivating device;
- a plurality of tools interchangeably mounted on the rotor;

each of the plurality of tools comprising a fixing end, a soil engaging portion, and a connecting portion which connects the fixing end to the soil engaging portion;

each fixing end being movably fixed to the rotor via an axle;

each soil engaging portion comprising a curved member having a leading edge; and a mechanism that applies a spring force against the fixing ends of the tools such that

the fixing ends of for biasing the tools are biased against the rotor.

wherein the fixing ends of the tools are arranged between the rotor and the mechanism.

- 32. (Currently Amended) A device for a soil cultivating machine, comprising:
- a rotor adapted to be rotatably mounted to the soil cultivating device;
- a plurality of tools interchangeably mounted on the rotor;

each of the plurality of tools comprising a fixing end, a soil engaging portion, and a connecting portion which connects the fixing end to the soil engaging portion;

each fixing end comprising a ring portion which is movably fixed to the rotor via an axle;

each soil engaging portion arranged below the ring portion and comprising a plate like member having a leading edge; and

a mechanism that applies a spring force against the ring portions of the tools such that the ring portions of for biasing the tools are biased against the rotor,

wherein the ring portions of the tools are arranged between the rotor and the mechanism, and

wherein each of the axles are oriented at an angle relative of a center axis of the rotor.

33. (Currently Amended) A tool for a soil cultivating machine having a rotor, the tool comprising:

a fixing end comprising an upper space zone, a ring adapted to receive a journal axle, and a pivot axis;

the fixing end being adapted to be pivotally and removably mounted to a rotor about the pivot axis;

a connecting portion comprising an intermediate space zone which extends downwardly from the fixing end; and

an active portion comprising a lower space zone and an approximately planar portion having a sharp leading edge,

wherein the active portion projects towards a direction of rotation of a rotor when the tool is installed on a rotor,

wherein the fixing portion extends from an upper end of the connecting portion and wherein the active portion extends from a lower end of the connecting portion, and

wherein the fixing portion extends from one side of the connecting portion and wherein the active portion extends from another side of the connecting portion.

Should there be any questions, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the below listed number.

Respectfully submitted, Roger PELLENC

Neil F. Greenblum Reg. No. 28,394

October 15, 2003 GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C. 1950 Roland Clarke Place Reston, VA 20191 (703) 716-1191